

RL



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/337,494	06/22/1999	NARIHIRO MATOBA	1163-0242P	9072

7590 05/12/2004

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
P O BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040

EXAMINER

YE, LIN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2612

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004

13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/337,494	MATOBA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lin Ye	2612	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lin Ye.

(3) Wendy Garber

(2) Hyung Sohn (703-205-8000).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5/5/05

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: independent claims

Identification of prior art discussed: Takayama & Miyake et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see below

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

The Applicant argued that Miyake does not perform fixed length coding on each block. This appears - upon brief inspection - to be correct. Based on receipt of a formal request for reconsideration, a thorough re-reading of Miyake will be performed, and patentability of these claims will be determined accordingly.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Wendy Garber
Examiner's signature, if required